

Creand[©]

Wealth & Securities

02march2022

**Execution Quality
Annual Report 2021**

Table of Contents

Report Content	4
1. Equities — Shares & Depositary Receipts	4
2. Debt Instruments	6
3. Interest Rates Derivatives	8
4. Credit Derivatives	10
5. Currency Derivatives	12
6. Structured Finance Instruments	14
7. Equity Derivatives	16
8. Securitized Derivatives	18
9. Commodities Derivatives & Emission Allowance Derivatives	20
10. Contracts for Difference	22
11. Exchange Traded products	24
12. Emission Allowances	26
13. Other Instruments	28

Introduction

This report has been issued to comply with the provisions pursuant to the Directive 2014/65/UE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 (“MiFID II”) and the Regulation (EU) 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May (“MiFIR”), which establish the obligation of Banque de Patrimoines Privés (Hereinafter “**BPP**” or the “**Investment firm**”) to publish on an annual basis an assessment of the quality of execution obtained in the execution venues in which all the client orders have been executed during the previous year, with respect to each category of financial instrument contemplated in Annex I attached to this Report.

The aim of MiFID regulation for the elaboration of this report, is to provide investors a clear picture of the tools and execution strategies used to assess the execution obtained in the venues of all investment firm. This information will also allow investors to evaluate the effectiveness of the monitoring carried out by BPP in relation to the execution venues. The content of this report is regulated by the Commission Delegated Regulation (UE) 2017/576 of 8 June 2016 supplementing Directive 2014/65/UE.

This report offers an analysis of BPP agreements with its execution venues in relation to payments made or received, discounts, reductions or non-monetary benefits received. Therefore, this evaluation will allow the public to consider the way in which said agreements affect the costs borne by the investors.

The information contained in this report will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis, and will refer to order execution behavior for each class of financial instruments.

This document issued by BPP will be published on its website, in a machine-readable electronic format, available for downloading by the public.

After analyzing the results of the referendum regarding the permanence of the UK in the European Union, we believe it is necessary to detail – geographically as well as on both the sector and micro levels – the possible impact on European stock markets of the UK’s “Leave” decision. We will now take a look at exports of the 27 EU countries to the UK. We understand that indices of those countries with the greatest levels of exports to the UK are likely to suffer the most, whilst those with less than average levels should be less affected:

Report Content

1. Equities — Shares & Depositary Receipts

1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.
BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “ relevant period ”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning debt instruments: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs• Size of transaction and market impact• Likelihood of execution and settlement• Speed of execution• Other factors
2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.
No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on debt instruments during the relevant period.
3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.
BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on debt instruments.
4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.
No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on debt instruments during the relevant period.
5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.
BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on debt instruments receipts in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order. For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.

In addition, BPP has monetized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on debt instruments.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter "**ESMA**"), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on debt instruments during the relevant period.

2. Debt Instruments

1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.

BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “**relevant period**”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning debt instruments:

- Final price plus execution costs
- Size of transaction and market impact
- Likelihood of execution and settlement
- Speed of execution
- Other factors

2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on debt instruments during the relevant period.

3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on debt instruments.

4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on debt instruments during the relevant period.

5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.

BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on debt instruments receipts in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.

For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.

In addition, BPP has monotonized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.
During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on debt instruments.
7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.
As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter " ESMA "), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.
8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.
BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on debt instruments during the relevant period.

3. Interest Rates Derivatives

1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.

BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “**relevant period**”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning interest rates derivatives:

- Final price plus execution costs
- Size of transaction and market impact
- Likelihood of execution and settlement
- Speed of execution
- Other factors

2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on interest rates derivatives during the relevant period.

3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on interest rates derivatives.

4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on interest rates derivatives during the relevant period.

5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.

BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on interest rates derivatives receipts in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.

For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.

In addition, BPP has monotonized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on interest rates derivatives.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter “**ESMA**”), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on interest rates derivatives during the relevant period.

4. Credit Derivatives

1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.

BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “**relevant period**”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning credit derivatives:

- Final price plus execution costs
- Size of transaction and market impact
- Likelihood of execution and settlement
- Speed of execution
- Other factors

2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on credits derivatives during the relevant period.

3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on credit derivatives.

4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on credit derivatives during the relevant period.

5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable..

BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on credit derivatives in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.

For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.

In addition, BPP has monotonized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on credit derivatives.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter “**ESMA**”), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on credit derivatives during the relevant period.

5. Currency Derivatives

1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.

BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “**relevant period**”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning currency derivatives:

- Price
- Speed
- Trading limits
- Liquidity and volatility

2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on currency derivatives during the relevant period.

3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on currency derivatives.

4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on currency derivatives during the relevant period.

5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.

BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on currency derivatives in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.

For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.

In addition, BPP has monotonized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.
During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on currency derivatives.
7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.
As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter " ESMA "), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.
8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.
BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on currency derivatives during the relevant period.

6. Structured Finance Instruments

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 9 executed orders concerning structured finance instruments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs availability• Speed and probability of order execution in low liquidity environment
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on structured finance instruments during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on structured finance instruments.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on structured finance instruments during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on structured finance instruments in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monotonized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on structured finance instruments.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter "**ESMA**"), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on structured finance instruments during the relevant period.

7. Equity Derivatives

1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.

BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “**relevant period**”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning equity derivatives:

- Final price plus execution costs
- Likelihood of execution
- Speed
- Size of transaction and market impact
- Other factors

2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.

No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on equity derivatives during the relevant period.

3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.

BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on equity derivatives.

4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.

No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on equity derivatives during the relevant period.

5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.

BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on equity derivatives in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.

For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.

In addition, BPP has monotonized the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.
During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on equity derivatives.
7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.
As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter “ ESMA ”), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.
8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.
BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on equity derivatives during the relevant period.

8. Securitized Derivatives

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “relevant period”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning securitized derivatives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs availability• Speed and probability of order execution in low liquidity environment
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on securitized derivatives during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on securitized derivatives.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on securitized derivatives during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on securitized derivatives in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monitored the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on securitized derivatives.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter “**ESMA**”), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on securitized derivatives during the relevant period.

9. Commodities Derivatives & Emission Allowance Derivatives

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “relevant period”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs availability• Speed and probability of order execution in low liquidity environment
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monitored the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter "**ESMA**"), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives during the relevant period.

10. Contracts for Difference

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “relevant period”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning contracts for difference:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs• Likelihood of execution• Speed• Size of transaction and market impact• Other factors
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on contracts for difference during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on contracts for difference.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on contracts for difference during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on contracts for difference in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monitored the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on contracts for difference.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter "**ESMA**"), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on contracts for difference during the relevant period.

11. Exchange Traded products

Exchange traded funds, Exchange traded notes and Exchange traded commodities

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, “relevant period”), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning exchange traded products:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs• Likelihood of execution• Speed• Size of transaction and market impact• Other factors
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on exchange traded products during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on exchange traded products.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on exchange traded products during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on exchange traded products in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monitored the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

.
6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.
During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on exchange traded products.
7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.
As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter " ESMA "), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.
8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.
BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on exchange traded products during the relevant period.

12. Emission Allowances

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, "relevant period"), considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning emission allowances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs availability• Speed and probability of order execution in low liquidity environment
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on emission allowances during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on emission allowances.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on emission allowances during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable..</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on emission allowances in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monitored the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on emission allowances.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter "**ESMA**"), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on emission allowances during the relevant period.

13. Other Instruments

<p>1. Explanation of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution factors of price, costs, speed, likelihood of execution or any other consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality of execution.</p>
<p>BPP when transmitting the client orders during 2021 (hereinafter, "relevant period"), has considered the following best execution technical standards for the executed orders concerning other instruments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Final price plus execution costs• Likelihood of execution• Speed• Size of transaction and market impact• Other factors
<p>2. Description of any close links, conflicts of interests, and common ownerships with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders.</p>
<p>No close links, conflicts of interest and common ownerships has been identified with respect to any execution venues used to execute orders on other instruments during the relevant period.</p>
<p>3. Description of any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received.</p>
<p>BPP does not have any specific arrangements with any execution venues regarding payments made or received, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits received with respect to orders on other instruments.</p>
<p>4. Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such a change occurred.</p>
<p>No changes have been made on the list of execution venues used to execute orders on other instruments during the relevant period.</p>
<p>5. Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorization, where applicable.</p>
<p>BPP determines the optimal result of the orders execution for retail clients on other instruments in terms of total consideration, composed by the price of the financial instrument and the cost related its execution, encompassing all charges and cost incurred by the client that are directly related to the order execution, including execution venue fees, clearing and settlement fees and any other fees paid to third parties involved in executing the order.</p> <p>For professional clients, BPP applies the relative weight of best execution factors set forth in point 1.</p> <p>In addition, BPP has monitored the existence of any potential incidents that could affect the accurate execution of orders in case it would be necessary to implement special or temporary measures. To this matter, no relevant incidents have occurred during the relevant period that could have affected the quality of the execution.</p>

6. Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client.

During the relevant period, BPP has given no precedence to other criteria over immediate price and cost (i.e. total consideration) when executing retail client orders on other instruments.

7. Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution.

As stated by the European Securities and Markets Authority (hereinafter “**ESMA**”), in its document Q&A on MiFID II and MiFIR investor protection and intermediaries topics (Section 1 – Best Execution, Question n° 6), recognizes that for investment firms may not be able to fully report information which is not available or applicable in relation to the preceding year in its Best Execution Reports issued in 2021.

8. Where applicable, an explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider.

BPP has not used output of a consolidated tape provider for the assessing the quality of order execution on other instruments during the relevant period.

ANNEX

This report addresses the following classes of financial instruments:

1. Equities — Shares and Depositary Receipts

- i) Tick size liquidity bands 5 and 6 (from 2000 trades per day)
- ii) Tick size liquidity bands 3 and 4 (from 80 to 1999 trades per day)
- iii) Tick size liquidity band 1 and 2 (from 0 to 79 trades per day)

2. Debt instruments

- i) Bonds
- ii) Money market instruments

3. Interest rates derivatives

- i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue
- ii) Swaps, forwards, and other interest rates derivatives

4. Credit derivatives

- i) Futures and options admitted on trading on a trading venue
- ii) Other credit derivatives

5. Currency derivatives

- i) Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue
- ii) Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives

6. Structured finance instruments

7. Equity Derivatives

- i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue
- ii) Swaps, forwards, and other equity derivatives

8. Securitized Derivatives

- i) Warrants and Certificate Derivatives
- ii) Other securitized derivatives

9. Commodities derivatives and emission allowances Derivatives

- i) Options and Futures admitted to trading on a trading venue
- ii) Other commodities derivatives and emission allowances derivatives

10. Contracts for difference

11. Exchange traded products (Exchange traded funds, exchange traded notes and Exchange traded commodities)

12. Emission allowances

13. Other instruments